

From: ["Brooks, Karl" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE:GROUP \(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT\)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=78AC91F4DB6D44F58424B504D5AA3C7D-BROOKS.KARL>](mailto:Brooks.Karl@EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE:GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=78AC91F4DB6D44F58424B504D5AA3C7D-BROOKS.KARL)  
To: [Carey](#)  
[Curtis;Hague](#)  
[Mark;Hammerschmidt](#)  
[Ron;Tapia](#)  
[Cecilia;Hatch](#)  
[Sarah;Field](#)  
[Jeff](#)  
CC:  
Date: 4/1/2014 6:53:45 AM  
Subject: Re: West lake update

---

FOIA Exemption 5 (Deliberative Process)



---

**From:** Carey, Curtis  
**Sent:** Monday, March 31, 2014 6:41:45 PM  
**To:** Brooks, Karl; Hague, Mark; Hammerschmidt, Ron; Tapia, Cecilia; Hatch, Sarah; Field, Jeff  
**Subject:** FW: West lake update

FOIA Exemption 5 (Deliberative Process)



---

**From:** Carey, Curtis  
**Sent:** Monday, March 31, 2014 6:39 PM  
**To:** 'Bill.Otto@house.mo.gov'  
**Subject:** FW: West lake update

Rep. Otto,

It was good meeting you on the phone last week. I appreciate your message today. Just a brief follow-up, the EPA Office of Research and Development critique contains many important findings that we wanted to release quickly today in its entirety. The critique was released to the Missouri congressional delegation, other interested

community leaders, the news media and directly to the public through West Lake Update. The EPA critique is an important result from our oversight of the work of the PRP's contractor.

Best,  
Curtis Carey  
Director, Office of Public Affairs  
EPA Region 7

---

**From:** Bill Otto [<mailto:Bill.Otto@house.mo.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 31, 2014 4:44 PM  
**To:** Hatch, Sarah  
**Subject:** RE: West lake update

Ms. Hatch,

I find the first paragraph of the update to be extremely disingenuous. The casual reader could readily ascertain that the PRP Report is correct on their assessment to the dangers associated with the SSE & RIM while the ORD does not support that position. Your organization gives every impression that the ORD does not dispute the PRP assessments when the first six bullet points clearly outlines legitimate concerns. I believe that this update does a disservice to the very community the it is communicating with. For an agency that should be trying to prove their trustworthiness, this is counterproductive.

Bill Otto  
House District 70